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INTRODUCTION

MISCONCEPTIONS IN SCIENCE EDUCATION: HELP ME UNDERSTAND

Or: How do we make sense of our world?

In discussions held with pre-service teachers as part of a seminar course about “misconceptions in science education,” the question of how the students' worldview is shaped in regard to science-related phenomena, often comes up. During the discussion, two main ideas emerge, one of which relates to the development of technology, which facilitates the students' accessibility to information, making it much more available to them. On the other hand, however, it does not offer a solution to students’ misconceptions that are inconsistent with the accepted scientific theories. Moreover, it is also suggested that because students have become accustomed to receiving immediate answers to any question or subject - by clicking a button on the keyboard - this impacts the immediate, intuitive way students answer questions - sometimes leading to incorrect answers.
The second idea is derived from the first, and focuses on changing the status of the teacher as an exclusive source of knowledge, as well as on the implications of this change on the pre-service teachers’ sense of self-confidence as teachers, on just how much a teacher is needed in teaching, and hence, on the changing role of the teacher in the teaching-learning process. The pre-service teachers sometimes stand helpless and devoid of any tools to deal with the situation. Indeed, in light of studies that point to the complexity of the learning process (e.g., Berkovich & Eyal, 2015; Blackmore, 2010; Muijs & Harris, 2003); the change in the teacher’s role in the era of knowledge and technological availability (e.g., Murphy, 2005; Spillane, 2012); and the importance of training pre-service teachers to deal with misconceptions (e.g., Gomez-Zwiep, 2008; Halim & Meerah, 2002; Meyer, 2004), there seems to be a real basis for this feeling, and it points to the importance of relating to this essential issue within the framework of teacher training.

The need to cope with this challenge led to the search for a different perspective on misconceptions in a way that might also affect the role of the teacher in the complicated teaching-learning process. This combination pointed to the use of the intuitive rule “same A - same B” as a pedagogic tool. The
researchers Stavy and Tirosh (2000), who undertook an in-depth study of incorrect responses in science and mathematics, described in the literature as misconceptions, maintain that a considerable proportion of the incorrect responses described in the literature as misconceptions result from the use of a limited number of intuitive rules (“more A - more B,” “same A - same B,” and “everything can be divided”), leading to an erroneous intuitive response.

The current study is based on these ideas and suggests that incorrect responses of this kind should be treated as an opportunity for learning rather than as a barrier or obstacle, and suggests making use of the potential contribution that lies in using the intuitive rule “same A - same B” as a pedagogical tool in teacher training.

This contribution, which goes beyond predictability for incorrect responses (Stavy & Tirosh, 2000), can also be expressed in possible ways of dealing with incorrect responses, and in exposing teachers to a learning experience that inspires a sense of empathy for their learners. Activity of this kind as part of the educational work of teachers can help to reduce teachers' sense of helplessness when faced with their students’ misconceptions, and on the other hand, inspires teachers to constantly examine their ability to operate
effectively in situations of ambiguity and uncertainty in different learning contexts when they are exposed to misconceptions.

The current study cautiously suggests that misconceptions should be treated as a challenging opportunity to better understand learners’ incorrect responses; to address incorrect responses by using the intuitive rule “same A - same B” during learning; and to gain a better understanding of the role of feelings and empathy awareness during learning interactions. Doing this may turn misconceptions into a means to understand and improve learning.

Thus, two central ideas underlie the book: The first - befriend misconceptions - is related to approaching misconceptions as an opportunity for learning rather than as an obstacle to make them part of the teaching-learning process. The second - comprehending emotion - is related to the changing role of the teacher and suggests a more vigorous application of affective aspects in response to the challenges of teaching-learning in the information age.

**Befriend Misconceptions**

The idea of treating misconceptions as an opportunity for learning rather than as an obstacle combines the findings of
studies that point to teachers’ meager knowledge regarding their students’ misconceptions (Gomez-Zwiep, 2008), and as a result, their tendency to ignore incorrect responses during the learning process and to consider them a specific difficulty of learners, one that causes teachers to feel helpless:

“I don’t know what else to do; after all, I’ve taught it and the students seemed to understand. How is it that they are giving incorrect responses?”

Indeed, ignorance of the source of the misconception is a barrier to learning, but focusing on them is a challenge that can lead to the advancement of learning.

In this study, an effort was made to understand the phenomenon of misconceptions by placing the spotlight on the learners - kindergarten to junior high school students and pre-service teachers who major in mathematics and science - with an eye to investigating not only the perspective of the learners, both the younger ones and the pre-service teachers, but also to try to understand the perspective of the pre-service teachers as teachers. The book proposes a change in the attitude toward misconceptions, that is to see them as an educational event that can advance learning rather than as one that limits it, thus helping teachers and students “make friends” with incorrect responses as part of the teaching-
learning process. This can be done by training an ability to delay the natural need to obtain a single 'correct' answer (Land & Hannafin, 1996), to encourage discussion on different points of view, and to foster tolerance for ambiguous and uncertain situations when questions remain open to discussion, despite the sense of unease that accompanies these situations. To advance this process, the teacher should try to understand the possible source of incorrect responses.

Indeed, since misconceptions appear to be a barrier to learning, understanding what lies behind them might reinforce the process of knowledge building. Some of the ideas proposed in this book are consistent with studies that point to the importance of exposing teachers already at the early stage of learning - in elementary school - to incorrect perceptions and to look for ways that contribute to dealing positively with them (e.g., Gomez-Zwiep, 2008). However, students often avoid seeing them, while teachers treat them as gaps in knowledge that will be filled during learning, although research findings contradict this current assumption (e.g., Allen & Coole, 2012; Gomez-Zwiep, 2008).

Despite the attempt to understand the possible source of the misconceptions, most of the studies relate to a specific content area (for example, electric circuit, force, energy, evolution),
and thus lack a comprehensive, overall and broad view to the problem (Fensham, 2001). A more overall and broad point of view is offered by Stavy and Tirosh (2000), who address misconceptions from another perspective, based on a theoretical framework that can interpret important misconceptions in science and mathematics as evolving from some general intuitive rules. They maintain that in many cases, students give answers that are not based on a single correct or incorrect perception, but rather that their answers vary, based on visual information related to a specific aspect of the task, from which they often erroneously infer for another aspect (Stavy & Tirosh, 1996, 2000). For example, young children (aged 4 - 5) claim that two glasses of sugar water of different size are equally sweet because both glasses have the same amount of sugar (one teaspoon of sugar was placed in different amounts of water - one cup filled with water and the other only half filled with water). The visual information regarding the equal amounts of sugar led to an incorrect answer regarding the degree of the water’s sweetness. Similarly, children often claim that a taller child must be older (when comparing two children of the same age who differ in height; a similar answer is given even if the older child is shorter than the younger one). The visual
information regarding the children’s height leads to an incorrect answer regarding their age.

According to the researchers, in the first case, the children's answer was consistent with the intuitive rule “same A - same B” (same amounts of sugar - same water’s sweetness); in the second case, the children's answer was consistent with the intuitive rule “more A - more B” (the taller - the older). The studies presented in this book are based on the intuitive rule “same A - same B” (Stavy & Tirosh, 2000) that serves as a pedagogical tool whose application contributes to understanding the possible source of students' incorrect responses to conservation tasks; to examining the limits of the application of this intuitive rule in conservation tasks; and to find ways to deal with the incorrect responses.

According to this approach, the proposal is to examine different learners’ perspectives, to try to investigate the source of the incorrect answer and to accordingly plan and implement the instruction. Alongside the cognitive pursuit of the content aspect of the incorrect responses, the present study also emphasizes the importance of the affective-emotional aspect, the quality of the relationship with the learners and teacher - learner interactions, as a factor that contributes to learning.